

#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

# Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303

#### DEPARTMENT OF PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2022-2023

#### CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC /PCT / 2022-23

Year /Sem : II/III

No of	Responses: 23 No of Subjects: 9		Feedback i	n Percentage	
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	43	35	13	9
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	48	39	9	1
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	52	30	9	9
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	48	35	13	1
5	Wheather Course objective is clear?	52	30	9	9
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	43	35	13	9
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	39	35	17	9
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	43	35	13	9



Academic Coordinator

HOD





# Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai


# Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303

# DEPARTMENT OF PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

Academic Year 2022-2023

#### CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS Year /Sem : II/IV

SEC /	PCT / 2022-23		I cai / is	VIII . 11/1 T	
	Responses: 23 No of Subjects: 9	ı	eedback i	n Percentage	
S.No	Descriptions Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	48	30	13	9
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	52	35	9	-4
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	43	35	13	9
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	48	39	9	1
5	Wheather Course objective is clear?	52	30	9	9
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	39	39	13	9
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	43	35	13	9
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	43	35	13	()



Academic Coordinator



# SRET miles

#### EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)


#### KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303 DEPARTMENT OF PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

#### Academic Year 2022-2023 CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY

EEC / PCT / 2022-23

Year /Sem : II/III

	No of Faculty Responses : 8		Feedback	in Percentage	
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	88	13	0	0
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	75	25	0	0
3	ls the syllabus designed based on average students level?	63	13	13	13
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	63	25	13	0
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	75	13	13	0
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	63	13	13	13
	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	63	25	13	0
	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	75	13	13	0







(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f & 12B)

#### KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303 DEPARTMENT OF PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2022-2023

# CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC / PCT / 2022-23

Year /Sem : II/IV

EEC / PC1 / 2022-23		Feedback in Percentage			
	No of Faculty Responses: 8		Feedback	in Fercenta	ge
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	38	50	13	0
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	50	38	13	0
3	ls the syllabus designed based on average students level?	50	38	13	0
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	38	25	25	13
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	50	38	13	0
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	75	25	0	0
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	75	13	13	0
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	63	25	0	13



Academic Coordinator

HOD





(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

### **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

#### SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

class / Sem / Year / Section: Abdul	Rahiman	10/11	Date: 25-11, 2022
-------------------------------------	---------	-------	-------------------

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	3
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	3
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	4
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	2_
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	4
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	4
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	3

Suggestions for further Improvement

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1



(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

## **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

# **SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT**

Class / Sem / Year / Section: Stram . B / 111 / 11

Date: 25.11. 2021

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	3
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	4
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	4
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	3
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	2
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	4
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	



(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A\*" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

## **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

# SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class / Sem / Year / Section: AKASHICIT / IV / I

Date: 5/4/23

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	4
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	3
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	3
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	4
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	4
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	3
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	



## (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

# **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

## Academic Year 2022-2023

# SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class / Sem / Year / Section: Raga Sethupathi . R/W/M Date: 5/4/23

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	3
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	4
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	4
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	3
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	4
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	4
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	3
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement		
Cross		



#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

#### **Department of PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

#### SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name of the Faculty

: A. Meenaleshi Sundar

Date: 10.11. 2022

Designation

: Assistant professor

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	4
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	)
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	4
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	3
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	3
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	4
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	4
8	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	?

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement				

**Faculty Sign** 

8

#### **EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**

#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

## **Department of PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

## SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name of the Faculty	I avaligas P
Designation	: Ilavaligan, P : Assistant Professor

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	4
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	Y
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	3
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	4
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	3
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the	4
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	Y
0	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus	4

is standard or not? Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	

Date: 12.11.2022

#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

### **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

### SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: Academician

Name of the Faculty : USharami

Date: 15-12-2022

Designation

: Assistant Professor

Name of the Institute : Ende Senguthour Engineering College.

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	4
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	3
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	4
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	3
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	4
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	3
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	4
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	4
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	4
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the industry expectations	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	
	4

#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A\*" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

#### **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

### **SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: Academician**

Name of the Faculty : Mr. R. Balasubramen

Date: 16 . 12 . 2022

Designation : Associate Professor.

Name of the Institute : Paavai Engineering College.

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	4
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	4
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	3
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	4
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	3
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	9
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	3
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	4
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	2
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the industry expectations	4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

uggestions for further improvement



#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A\*" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

#### **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

#### SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: Academician

Name of the Faculty	: Murugesan. A. : Assistant Professor	Date: 06/05/20
Designation	: Assistant Professor	, ,
Name of the Institute	· Nandha Engineering College	

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	4
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	Ψ
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	3
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	4
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	3
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	4
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	4
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	4
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	3
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the industry expectations	2

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	

Mary 23

#### (Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

#### **Department of Petrochemical Technology**

#### Academic Year 2022-2023

#### SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: Academician

Ν	l	am	e	of	the	Faculty	
---	---	----	---	----	-----	---------	--

Date: 05/05/23

Name of the Faculty: S. Karthikeyan

Designation: Assistant professor

Name of the Institute: SSM College of Engineering

<b>S.No</b>	Descriptions  Is the course capable of adding learning values,	Rating		
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values.			
1	professional ethics and human values in students?	4		
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	4		
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?			
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.			
5	is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	4		
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	3		
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	3		
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	4		
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	4		
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the industry expectations	3		

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Impr	ovement

S. Kathingan