

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : II/III

No of	Responses: 61 No of Subjects: 8	Feedback in Percentage			
S.Ne	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
grows.	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	18	70	8	3
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	13	72	13	2
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	11	74	tons tons	3
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	21	74.	5	0
5	Wheather Course objective is clear?	25	67	.7	2
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	20	74	7	0
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	15	80	3	2
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	18	74	5	3



Faculty Incharge

HOD

Excel Engineering College, Komarepalayam - 637 343



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : II/IV

	Responses: 60 No of Subjects: 8	Feedback in Percentage				
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	18.33	75.00	6.67	0.00	
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	21.67	78.33	0.00	0.00	
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course	15.00	73.33	10.00	1.67	
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	20.00	75.00	5.00	0.00	
5	Wheather Course objective is clear?	13.33	81.67	5.00	0.00	
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	23.33	76.67	0.00	0.00	
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	16.67	75.00	5.00	3.33	
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	20.00	73.33	3.33	3.33	



Faculty Incharge

HOD

HOD - IT,
Excel Engineering College,
Komarapalayam - 631 344



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : III/V

	11 / 2021-22 Responses : 50 No of Subjects : 9	I	eedback ir	Percentage	
No 01 S.No	Responses: 50 No of Subjects: 9 Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	24.00	74.00	2.00	0.00
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	26.00	56.00	16.00	2.00
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	22.00	64.00	10.00	4.00
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	16.00	70.00	6.00	8.00
5	Wheather Course objective is clear?	26.00	64.00	6.00	4.00
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	16.00	66.00	14.00	4.00
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	20.00	70.00	6.00	4.00
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	22.00	64.00	8.00	6.00



Faculty Incharge

HOD

HOD - IT, Excel Regineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : III/	٧I	
------------------	----	--

No of Responses: 49 No of Subjects: 9		Feedback in Percentage			
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	24.49	69.39	6.12	0.00
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	30.61	59.18	. 8.16	2.04
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	28.57	67.35	4.08	0.00
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	22.45	63.27	10.20	4.08
5	Wheather Course objective is clear?	24.49	65.31	10.20	0.00
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	24.49	57.14	14.29	4.08
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	20.41	73.47	4.08	2.04
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	28.57	46.94	24.49	0.00



Faculty Incharge

HOD - IT, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 3007



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Academic Year 2021-22


CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC / IT / 2021-22 No of Responses: 50

Feedback in Percentage No of Subjects: 8 a i Madamata

Year /Sem : IV/VII

S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	24.00	66.00	10.00	0.00
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	12.00	84.00	4.00	0.00
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	22.00	74.00	2.00	2.00
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	12.00	82.00	6.00	0.00
5	Wheather Course objective is clear ?	24.00	72.00	4.00	0.00
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	28.00	64.00	8.00	0.00
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	20.00	76.00	2.00	2.00
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	28.00	56.00	12.00	4.00



Faculty Incharge

HOD - IT, Excel Engineering Page, Komarapalayam - 65, 53.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENTS

EEC /IT/ 2021-22

	rear/sem: IV/VIII
bjects: 2	Feedback in Percentage

N I 0	n	Year /Sem : IV/VIII				
10 OM	Responses: 50 No of Subjects: 2	Feedback in Percentage				
S.No		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	26.00	58.00	14.00	2.00	
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	22.00	70.00	8.00	0.00	
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	12.00	80.00	8.00	0.00	
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	20.00	70.00	8.00	2.00	
5	Wheather Course objective is clear ?	22.00	70.00	6.00	2.00	
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Refrence book in related to syllabus	16.00	80.00	2.00	2.00	
7	Whether syllabus is relavant to real word problems?	20.00	68.00	10.00	2.00	
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	16.00	64.00	20.00	0.00	



Faculty Incharge

HOD

HOD - IT, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC / IT / 2020-21

Year /Sem : II/III

	No of Facility 19	rear/sent : 11/111				
	No of Faculty Responses: 9	Feedback in Percentage				
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00	
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	22.22	55.56	11.11	11.11	
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00	
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	11.11	77.78	11.11	0.00	
	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00	
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00	
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00	
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00	



Faculty Incharge

HOD

Excel Eagineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303.




DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC - 11 - 2021-22

	11 2021 22					
	No of Faculty Responses: 8	Feedback in Percentage				
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	37.50	50.00	12.50	0.00	
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	50.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	37.50	37.50	25.00	0.00	
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	50.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	37.50	37.50	12.50	12.50	
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	



Faculty Incharge

HOD

Year/Sem: II/IV

HOD - IT, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 365.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : III/V

	No of Faculty Responses: 9	Feedback in Percentage			
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	44.44	33.33	22.22	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	33.33	44.44	11.11	11.11
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	44.44	33.33	22.22	0.00
5	ls the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	44.44	33.33	11.11	11.11
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	44.44	33.33	22.22	0.00



Faculty Archarge

HOD

HOD - IT,

Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 3024



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC /IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : III/VI

No of Faculty Responses : 9			Feedback in Percentage			
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00	
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	44.44	33.33	11.11	11.11	
3	ls the syllabus designed based on average students level?	33.33	33.33	22.22	11.11	
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	44.44	33.33	11.11	11.11	
	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	44.44	44.44	0.00	11.11	
	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00	
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00	
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00	



Faculty Incharge

HOD - IT,

Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : IV/VII

S.No	No of Faculty Responses: 8	Feedback in Percentage			
	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	ls the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?		37.50	12.50	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
4	ls the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	50.00	37.50	12.50	0.00
5	ls the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	37.50	37.50	12.50	12.50
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
8	is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00



Faculty Incharge

HOD

HOD - IT, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 60, 363



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Year /Sem : IV/VIII

	No of Faculty Responses : 3		Feedback is	Percentage	:
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



Faculty Incharge

HOD

HOD - Pr, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303,



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY


Academic Year 2021-22

CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : EMPLOYER

EEC/IT / 2021-22

Feedback Rate: Excellent 4, Good 3, Moderate 2, Poor 1

	No of Responses: 15	Feedback in Percentage				
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students.	66.67	20.00	6.67	6.67	
2	ls our syllabus compatible with the real world problems.	60.00	33.33	6.67	0.00	
3	what is the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	46.67	33.33	20.00	0.00	
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	40.00	33.33	26.67	0.00	
5	Is the syllabus meet the industrial standards.	53.33	26.67	13.33	6.67	
6	Is the syllabus build the students readily employable without training.	60.00	33.33	6.67	0.00	
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions.	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	
8	Are the courses provide good balance between theory and application?	60.00	26.67	13.33	0.00	
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	53.33	26.67	13.33	6.67	
10	Is the syllabus meet the expectation of the industry.	33.33	40.00	20.00	6.67	



Faculty Incharge

HOD T, HOD T, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Academic Year 2021-22


CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: ALUMNI

EEC / IT / 2021-22

Regulation of study: R 2017

Batch: 2017-2021

	No of responses : 50	Feedback in Percentage			
S.No	Descriptions		Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	50.00	22.00	20.00	. 8.00
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	54.00	24.00	18.00	4.00
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	48.00	30.00	18.00	4.00
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	56.00	34.00	10.00	0.00
5	Are the course sufficient to enable the students to analyse and identify the necessary solutions?	60.00	16.00	20.00	4.00
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculam?	58.00	32.00	8.00	2.00
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	56.00	34.00	10.00	0.00
8	Are the courses provide good balance between theory and application?	60.00	24.00	10.00	6.00



Faculty Incharge

HOD

HOD - IT, Excel Engineering College, Komarapalayam - 637 303.



(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of	ozmation	Technology
Academic Year		

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class / Sem / Year / Section:	Ī	1 1	/IV	/_	Date:	18/12/2021
-------------------------------	---	-----	-----	----	-------	------------

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	3.
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	2
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	2
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	3
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	3
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	4
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	2

Suggestions for further Imp	rovement		240
	0		. ,
	NO	Noon).	
	,	116 69	
-			



(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennal

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of Information	tion Technology
---------------------------	-----------------

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class /	Sem / Year / Section: I V III Date:	7-12-200
S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	4
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	3
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	3
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	4
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	2
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	3.
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	15

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement
Loope

Date: 17-12-2021



(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennaí
Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)
KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of	emation	Technology
		0,009
Academic Year	2021-90	

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class / Sem / Year / Section:	1	11		Date:	14/12/2021
-------------------------------	---	----	--	-------	------------

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	3
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	2
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	3
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	4
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	4
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	3
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

uggestions for further improvement	

Student Sign

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)

KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of	Inform	ation 1	echno	lo	gy.
•		(-0-0-0-1			U

Academic Year 2001-2022.

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class / Sem / Year / Section:	1/	1 1	/A.	Date:	4.	4.22
-------------------------------	----	-----	-----	-------	----	------

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	2
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	3 .
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	. 24
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	3
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	2
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	3
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	4

Crive	any	local	centhon.	book
	0			
		,	,	,



EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennal

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

	110 11 WENT WIN - 001000	
Department of _	Information	Technology
	1	

Academic Year __ 2021-22

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: STUDENT

Class / S	Sem / Year / Section: $I/I/I/B$ Date:	4.4.22
S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content?	4
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	3
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	2
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	4
5	Whether Course objective is clear?	2
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus?	3
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	3
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	
Nell.	

Student Sign

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennal Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of Information Technology)

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name of the Faculty

Date: 24/04/2021

Designation

: Mrs. Mouna. S : Asst. Professor

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	4
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	3
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	4
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	4
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	3
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	3
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	4
8	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	3

Suggestions for fu	irther Improv	vement		4 . 1		/	
	Commod	PON	to	understand	bu	Studenti.	
and	act	more		understand knowledge	Par	employmen	1
		7.00		P	70,	77	_
							_

SRET X

EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai
Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)
KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of	
Academic Year _	2021-22

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name of the Faculty

: N. NAVEEN

Date: 22/12/2021

Designation

: AP

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	Н
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	H
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	3
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	3
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	3
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	3
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	3
8	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	H

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	to.	Understand	from .	the	baus
					10/11

Faculty Sign

SREI

EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai
Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A+" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)
KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of Information Technology	1
--------------------------------------	---

Academic Year 2021-2022

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name of the Faculty

: P. Dinesh Kumar-

Date: 21, 12, 21

Designation

AP

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	4
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	3.
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	4
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	3
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	2
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	4
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	4
8	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further In	mprovement				
	Good,	But	need to	Add	advanced
Jopic					

Faculty Sign

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A*" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department	of_	Totor	mation	Toch	solog;	1
		nic Year	2021-			J

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name	of	the	Faculty
Ivaille	O1	tile	racuity

: E Annal Sheeba Roni : Assistant Professor

Date: 07/4/2022

Designation

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	3
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	4
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	3
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	2
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	3
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	3
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	4
8	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	3

Suggestions for further Improvement	topics like Block chain
Technology, opener,	p. programming



(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A*" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of	1T
---------------	----

Academic Year 8021 - 22

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: FACULTY

Name of the Faculty

Date: 6 4 . 22

Designation

: MALATHI : ASST PROFESSOR

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	4
2	Are you satisfying the existing syllabus which you are handling?	3
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average student's level?	3
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship	4
5	Is the prerequisite for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	3
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	3
7	Is the syllabus covered fundamentals, advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications	4
8	Is the text and Reference book prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	3

Suggestions for further improvement						
	No				9	
			,			
			A .			

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A." and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)

KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303 Department of

Academic Year

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: EMPLOYER

Name of the Employee : SATHISH LOHADHAS Date: (1/11/202)

Designation : Software Engineer

Industry/Company Name: Vue Oata Technology

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	3
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	2
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	Cp
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	10
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	1
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	6
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	3
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	2
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the expectation of the industry.	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement					
	Ginad				
	7000			-	

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of Information Technolog

Academic Year 2021 - 2022

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: EMPLOYER

Date: 7.6.2021

Name of the Employee : M. MADHAN PRASAD

Designation : Team Lead

Industry/ Company Name: Cyber Security Assurance

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	\$
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	2
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	3
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	4
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	3
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	2
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	4
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	3
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	2
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the expectation of the industry.	4

Suggestions for further Improvement
Good the syllabus covered and the
poogramming papers.

SRET *

EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)

KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of 10 formation Technity

Academic Year <u>2021-2022</u>

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: EMPLOYER

Name of the Employee

: K.MANIKANDAN

Date: 10 - 07 - 2021

Designation

: MANAGIER

Industry/ Company Name: EYWA MEDIA INNOVATION

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	le
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	4
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	3
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	4
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	4
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	4
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	3
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	t
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	3
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the expectation of the industry.	4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement	the	concept	early.	
			. 8	
				$\overline{}$



(Autonomous)
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of _

Academic Year 201

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: EMPLOYER

Name of the Employee : G. SARAVANA MOORTHY Date: 17-08-2021

Designation : Technical Lead

Industry/ Company Name: Sedin Technology

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	2
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	3
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	4
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	3
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	2
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	4
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	7
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	4
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	2
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the expectation of the industry.	3

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestion	s for further Im	provemen	it .							
		Grood	60	spend	tih	ne i	n	class	and	
the	concept	al	Tea	china	13	Grow	d.			_
		f		7	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) **KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303**

Department of	Information		1094
bepartment of	The strategies.	1,000,000	9

Academic Year 2081 - 22

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: EMPLOYER

Name of the Employee

Date: 64-10-2021

Designation

: J. DHARANI : TECHINAL TRAINEE

Industry/ Company Name: GATEWAY OFFICE PARK

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students?	2
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems?	3
3	What are the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	. 4
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	3
5	Is the syllabus able to meet the industrial standards?	7
6	Is the syllabus able to build the students readily employable without training?	2
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions?	3
8	Are the courses providing good balance between theory and application?	3
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	2
10	Is the syllabus able to meet the expectation of the industry.	4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

suggestions for further improvement	
<i>\delta</i>	

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

Accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM - 637303

Department of _

Academic Year <u>221-221</u>

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: ALUMNI

Name of the Alumni: Mr. Arun S.	Date: 13.11.2021
Current Employability: Team leader DMN3 Technology.	Batch: 2d3-17
Regulation of study:	•

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	5
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	4
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	5
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	L
5	Are the courses sufficient to enable the students to analyze and identify the necessary solutions?	4
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculum?	5
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	.4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1
Suggestions for further Improvement

SRET *

EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)

KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of Information Technology.

Date: 24 /1 2021

Batch: 2016-20

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: ALUMNI

Name of the Alumni: Harshini. A.

Current Employability: Gottware Developer Tes.

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Suggestions for further Improvement

Regulation of study:		
S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	3
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	2
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	4
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	2.
5	Are the courses sufficient to enable the students to analyze and identify the necessary solutions?	2
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculum?	3
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	4

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B) KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of Motormation Technology

Academic Year 20 21 - 20 22

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: ALUMNI

Name of the Alumni: Renjoth kumar. M. Current Employability: Enoah Solutions.	Date: 3.12-2021
Current Employability: Enough Solutions.	Batch: 2016-20

Regulation of study:

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	L ₁
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	· 3
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	4
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	3
5	Are the courses sufficient to enable the students to analyze and identify the necessary solutions?	3
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculum?	4
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	4

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1		
Suggestions for further Improvement		
	'	

Alumni Sign

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai
accredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A·" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)

KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of Information Technology
Academic Year $2021 - 22$

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: ALUMNI

Name of the Alumni: Society Royar N	Date: 8 4 2-22
Current Employability: Northa Infotoch	Batch: 2016 - 20

Regulation of study:

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	3
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	2
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	7
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	7,
5	Are the courses sufficient to enable the students to analyze and identify the necessary solutions?	2
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculum?	3
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	2

Suggestions for further Improvement

(Autonomous)

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai ccredited by NBA (AERO, CSE, ECE, MECH), NAAC with "A*" and Recognised by UGC (2f &12B)

KOMARAPALAYAM – 637303

Department of Information Technology.

Academic Year 2021 - 22

SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM: ALUMNI

Name of the Alumni: Rayuth.	I	Date: 18 8 202
Current Employability: Sywa Mede	a ennovations,	Batch: 2007 - 1

Regulation of study:

S.No	Descriptions	Rating
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	2,
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	3
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	4
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	3
5	Are the courses sufficient to enable the students to analyze and identify the necessary solutions?	4
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculum?	3
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	3

Suggestions for further Improvement

Feedback Rate: Excellent - 4, Good - 3, Moderate - 2, Poor - 1

Alumni Sign