



**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : STUDENTS**


EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : II/III

No of Responses : 76


No of Subjects : 9

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	39	30	16	14
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	34	34	13	18
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	37	36	20	8
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	43	28	20	9
5	Whether Course objective is clear ?	36	26	24	14
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus	37	34	13	16
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	36	28	21	16
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	37	25	17	21



*M. Mohan Kumar*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**





**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : STUDENTS**


EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : II/IV

No of Responses : 78

No of Subjects : 8

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	33.33	29.49	17.95	19.23
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	28.21	34.62	19.23	17.95
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	29.49	30.77	19.23	20.51
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	35.90	37.18	14.10	12.82
5	Whether Course objective is clear ?	34.62	30.77	20.51	14.10
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus	39.74	21.79	15.38	23.08
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	38.46	26.92	21.79	12.82
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	25.64	39.74	20.51	14.10



*M. Nandhan*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**







**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : STUDENTS**


EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : III/V

No of Responses : 92

No of Subjects : 9

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	36.96	39.13	14.13	9.78
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	30.43	44.57	9.78	15.22
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	40.22	20.65	31.52	7.61
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	33.70	29.35	23.91	13.04
5	Whether Course objective is clear ?	30.43	29.35	23.91	16.30
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus	39.13	26.09	19.57	15.22
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	38.04	32.61	15.22	14.13
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	35.87	32.61	16.30	15.22



*M. Nandhan*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**





**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : STUDENTS**


EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : III/VI

No of Responses : 90


No of Subjects : 9

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	32.22	37.78	17.78	12.22
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	30.00	34.44	25.56	10.00
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	37.78	32.22	24.44	5.56
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	31.11	37.78	15.56	15.56
5	Whether Course objective is clear ?	26.67	44.44	15.56	13.33
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus	34.44	28.89	21.11	15.56
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	37.78	23.33	27.78	11.11
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	25.56	32.22	25.56	16.67



*M. Nandhan*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**





**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : STUDENTS**


EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : IV/VII

No of Responses : 68

No of Subjects : 9

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	35.29	30.88	27.94	5.88
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	38.24	23.53	22.06	16.18
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	27.94	38.24	20.59	13.24
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	30.88	35.29	26.47	7.35
5	Whether Course objective is clear ?	36.76	30.88	22.06	10.29
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus	38.24	32.35	16.18	13.24
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	30.88	32.35	17.65	19.12
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	32.35	29.41	20.59	17.65



*M. Nandha Kumar*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**







**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : STUDENTS**


EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : IV/VIII

No of Responses : 70

No of Subjects : 2

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	What is the Depth of the syllabus content ?	31.43	62.86	1.43	4.29
2	Whether Syllabus is carrier oriented?	22.86	48.57	22.86	5.71
3	Whether the course was well Structured to achieve course outcomes?	35.71	38.57	25.71	0.00
4	Rate the size of the syllabus in terms of students load	28.57	50.00	17.14	4.29
5	Whether Course objective is clear ?	21.43	57.14	15.71	5.71
6	Are the books prescribed as a text book/ Reference book in related to syllabus	30.00	32.86	31.43	5.71
7	Whether syllabus is relevant to real word problems?	25.71	42.86	21.43	10.00
8	Whether the syllabus is covered with industry standards?	28.57	35.71	24.29	11.43



*M. Nandhan*  
Faculty Incharge

*N. Watery*  
HOD





**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**


**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : II/III

No of Faculty Responses : 18

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	38.89	16.67	27.78	16.67
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	0.00	27.78	55.56	16.67
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	11.11	55.56	33.33	0.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals,advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	11.11	44.44	44.44	0.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	16.67	55.56	22.22	5.56



*M. Nandh*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**







**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**


**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : II/IV


No of Faculty Responses : 19

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	63.16	36.84	0.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	47.37	36.84	15.79	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	47.37	31.58	15.79	5.26
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowledge to carry out the field project/internship.	36.84	31.58	15.79	15.79
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculam?	52.63	36.84	10.53	0.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	26.32	15.79	31.58	26.32
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals,advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	26.32	36.84	21.05	15.79
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	26.32	26.32	26.32	21.05



*M. Muthu*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**








**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY**

ECC / MECH / 2020-21


Year /Sem : III/V

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	20.00	75.00	5.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	25.00	70.00	5.00	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	25.00	60.00	15.00	0.00
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	20.00	35.00	35.00	10.00
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	45.00	30.00	15.00	10.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	20.00	70.00	10.00	0.00
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals,advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	25.00	35.00	20.00	20.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	35.00	40.00	20.00	5.00



*M. Nandha*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**





**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**


**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Year /Sem : III/VI


No of Faculty Responses : 17

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	64.71	35.29	0.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	58.82	29.41	11.76	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	58.82	29.41	11.76	0.00
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	64.71	23.53	0.00	11.76
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	47.06	29.41	17.65	5.88
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	47.06	41.18	0.00	11.76
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals,advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	58.82	35.29	5.88	0.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	41.18	29.41	29.41	0.00



*M. Nandha Kumar*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**








**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21


Year /Sem : IV/VII

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus available in the curriculum?	10.00	60.00	20.00	10.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	40.00	20.00	30.00	10.00
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals,advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	10.00	40.00	40.00	10.00



*M. Nandha*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**






**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : FACULTY**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21


Year /Sem : IV/VIII

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course objectives are illustrated clearly to the need of the students?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
2	Are you satisfy the existing syllabus which you are handling?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
3	Is the syllabus designed based on average students level?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
4	Is the syllabus provided the basic knowlledge to carry out the field project/internship.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
5	Is the prerequest for the syllabus avilable in the curriculum?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
6	Is the syllabus well organized and easy to follow by the students?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
7	Is the syllabus covered fundametals,advanced topics and ensures balance between theory & applications.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
8	Is the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus is standard or not?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00



*M. Nallathambi*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Adalaraj*  
**HOD**







**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**


**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : EMPLOYER**

EEC/MECH/2020-21

Date : 09.04.2021


**Feedback Rate : Excellent 4, Good 3, Moderate 2, Poor 1**

No of Responses: 7		Feedback in Percentage			
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Is the course capable of adding learning values, professional ethics and human values in students.	42.86	14.29	28.57	14.29
2	Is our syllabus compatible with the real world problems .	42.86	14.29	14.29	28.57
3	what is the efforts required by the students to learn the syllabus?	42.86	42.86	0.00	14.29
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	42.86	14.29	42.86	0.00
5	Is the syllabus meet the industrial standards.	57.14	28.57	14.29	0.00
6	Is the syllabus build the students readily employable without training.	28.57	14.29	14.29	42.86
7	Is the syllabus sufficient to analyses engineering problem and solutions.	14.29	28.57	14.29	42.86
8	Are the courses provide good balance between theory and application?	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00
9	Is the syllabus helps the students to work in a team and also lead the team.	42.86	14.29	28.57	14.29
10	Is the syllabus meet the expectation of the industry.	42.86	14.29	42.86	0.00



*M. Nandha*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**





**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM-637 303**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2017-21**

**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : ALUMNI**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21


Date: 16.04.2021

**Regulation of study: R 2013**

**Batch : 2017-21**


No of responses : 27

S.No	Descriptions	Feedback in Percentage			
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Was the courses well structured to achieve course outcomes?	37.04	33.33	7.41	22.22
2	Did the courses enable to build your future career?	37.04	25.93	29.63	7.41
3	Has the courses enable the skills required by the industry?	25.93	33.33	25.93	14.81
4	Rate the depth of knowledge about the courses.	44.44	29.63	14.81	11.11
5	Are the course sufficient to enable the students to analyse and identify the necessary solutions?	33.33	37.04	18.52	11.11
6	Rate the syllabus and curriculam?	37.04	29.63	25.93	7.41
7	Are the relevant books prescribed for reference/text?	22.22	37.04	14.81	25.93
8	Are the courses provide good balance between theory and application?	51.85	29.63	7.41	11.11



*M. Nandha Kumar*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Nataraj*  
**HOD**







**EXCEL ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
**KOMARAPALAYAM- 637 303.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**  
**Academic Year 2020-21**


**CONSOLIDATED SYLLABUS REVIEW FEEDBACK FORM : PARENTS**

EEC / MECH / 2020-21

Date : 12.3.2021

**Feedback Rate : Excellent 4, Good 3, Moderate 2, Poor 1**

No of Responses : 32		Feedback in Percentage			
S.No	Descriptions	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor
1	Are all the courses well structured and caters the aptitude interest and learning outcome of your ward?	50.00	37.50	6.25	6.25
2	Has the courses enabled your ward to secure overall development?	43.75	37.50	18.75	0.00
3	Has the courses enriched your wards skills and knowledge?	31.25	21.88	28.13	18.75
4	Have you found from your ward that there is balance between theory and application?	31.25	28.13	18.75	21.88
5	Have your ward acquire real world knowledge through the courses?	31.25	28.13	28.13	12.50
6	Has the courses in the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship/startups Skills?	46.88	28.13	12.50	12.50
7	Has the courses fulfill the industrial expectation?	50.00	21.88	18.75	9.38



*M. Nandhini*  
**Faculty Incharge**

*N. Vataraj*  
**HOD**

